3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/526,117	TSCHUDIN, CHRISTIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2666
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del></del>
(1) Robert C. Scheibel.	(3) Alexander Zinchuk.	
(2) Ronit Gillon	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 June 2005	Time: <u>12:25 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1, 16, 21,24-27, and 31  Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Robert Coly 1/2 7-11-05		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) // (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested changes to the wording of the above listed claims to improve the clarity of these claims. Applicant agreed to the changes which are documented in detail in the enclosed examiner's amendment. Examiner and applicant also corresponded via email to ensure the accuracy of these changes. Also spoke with Alexander Zinchuk on Monday, July 11, 2005 regarding the change to the abstract; the change detailed in the examiner's amendment was approved by Mr. Zinchuk.